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## ntroduction

The aim is to provide e assessment calculator has been developed by Thames valey Environmental Records Centre (IVEAC) for use by our Locct
The calculutor has been developed in partnership with Local Authority officers. TVERC will continue to develop the calculator in response to feedback from use
The calculator benefits from TVERC's continually updated habitat data for Berkshire and Oxfordshire. This information is not available from any other source.

Licence
This calc

Use by others for anplications to Local Planning Authorities outside of the scheme is a breach of the licence.
TVERC Biodiversity Impact Assessment Service
TVERC provides a service to both applicants and Local Authorities to carry out biodiversity impact assessments on your behalf.
We use our extensive habitat data to calculate the impact of d development based on information you provide
but this senvice provides a cost-effective way of accessing these data for impact calculations.
Instructions for use
The calculator has three sheets. The 'Existing Habitat' and 'roposed Habitat' sheets are input sheets. The 'Calculations' sheet provides the results of the biodiversity net gain/ net loss calculation.
The Existing Habitat and Proposed Habitat sheets have habitats (classified by Phase 1 descriptions) grouped into habitat types.
The calculations sheet provides a summary of losses and gains for each habitat type as well as an overall loss/gain.
Users input data into blue fields. All other fields are automatic.
Details of the required information for each field is described belo
Existing Habitat' sheet
Please fill in information for the habitats that are present on site prior to development.
Phase 1 habitat description: select your habitat type from the drop down list. Habitats are grouped by broad habitat type.
condition assessment

The number of biodiversity units is calculated and the score provided in the box at the end of the row.
Hedgerows
For hedgerows we have followed guidance produced by Natural England for accounting for hedgerows
Hedgerow distinctiveness is is defined for species-rich hedges, species-poor hedges and line of trees
hedges, spee

| Attributes and functional groupings ( $A, B, C \& D$ ) |  | Criteria (the minimum requirements for 'favourable condition' | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1. | Height | 1.5 m average along length | The average height of woody growth estimated from base of stem to the top of shoots, excluding any bank beneath the hedgerow, any gaps or isolated trees. |
|  |  |  | Newly laid or coppiced hedgerows are indicative of good management and pass this criterion (if undertaken according to good practice) |
|  |  |  | A newly planted hedgerow does not pass this criterion (unless it 1.5 m height) |
| A2. | Width | 1.5 m average along length | The average width of woody growth estimated at the widest point of the canopy, excluding gaps and isolated tres. |
|  |  |  | Outgrowths (e.g. blackthorn suckers) are only included in the width estimate when they $>0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ in height. |
|  |  |  | Laid, coppiced and newly planted hedgerows are treated as for 'height' above. |
| B1. | Gap - hedge base | Gap between ground and base of canopy $<0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ fo >90\% of length | This is the vertical gappiness of the woody component of the hedgerow, and its distance from the ground to the lowest leafy growth. |
|  |  |  | Certain exceptions to this criterion are acceptable (see page 65, Defra 2007) |
| B2 | Gap - hedge canopy continuity | Gaps make up <10\% of total length | This is the horizontal gappiness of the woody component of the hedgerow. Gaps are complete breaks in the woody canopy (no matter how small). |
|  |  | and | Access points and gates contribute to the overall gappiness, but are not subject to the $>5 \mathrm{~m}$ criterion (as this is the typical size of a gate) |
|  |  | No canopy gap 75 m |  |
| c1. | Undisturbed ground and perennial vegetation | $>1 \mathrm{~m}$ width of undisturbed ground with perennial herbaceous vegetation for $>90 \%$ of length | This criterion picks up management close to the hedgerow that is likely to damage woody species (e.g. cultivation harming roots) or their associated ground flora (e.g. herbicide use). |
|  |  | - measured from outer edge of hedgerow, and | Hedgerows adjacent to vegetation such as permanent grassland or woodland automatically meet this criterion. |
|  |  | o is resent on one side of the hedge (at least) |  |
| c2. | Undesirable perennial vegetation | Plant species indicative of nutrient enrichment of soils dominate <20\% cover of the area of undisturbed ground | The indicator species used are nettles (Urtica spp.), cleavers (Galium aparine) and docks (Rumex spp.). Their presence, either singly or together, should not exceed the 20\% cover threshold. |
| 01. | Invasive and neophyte species | $>90 \%$ of the hedgerow and undisturbed ground is free of invasive non-native and neophyte species | Neophytes are plants that have naturalised in the UK since AD 1500. For information on neophytes see the JNCC website and for information on invasive non-native species see the GB Non-Native Secretariat website. |
| D2. | Current damage | $>90 \%$ of the hedgerow or undisturbed ground is | This crierion addresses damasing activities that may have led to or lead to deterioration in other atrributes |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition Assessment | Maximum number of attributes that can fail to meet 'favourable condition' criteria in Figure 2 | Multiplier (score) |  |
| Good | No more than 2 failures in total and no more than 1 in any functional group. |  | 3 |
| Moderate | No more than 4 failures in total and fails both attributes in a maximum of one functional group. |  |  |
|  | e.g. fails attribute $1 \& 2,5 \& 7$ = moderate condition. |  |  |
|  | e.g.f. fails attributes $1,2,3, \& 4=$ poor condition |  |  |
| Poor | Fails a total of more than 4 attributes or both attributes in more than one functional group. |  | 1 |

Habitat List
This sheet shows each habitat its distinctiveness score and the
It also shows the scores given for other values used in the calculater
So to derive the 'time to target condition' values inyears. Details of this review are available on request.
.
Feedback
TVERC will continue to develop and refine the calculator with its partners to ensure it reflects the value of biodiversity in Berkshire and oxfordshire.
Therefore any feedback is usefulin making the calculator both useable and relevant.
To provide feedback please email: tverccooxfordshire. gov. uk







| Phase 1 habitat | Existing Score | Proposed Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Woodland and Scrub | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Broadleaved woodland - semi-natural | 0 | - 0 | 0 |
| Broadleaved woodland - plantation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mixed woodland - semi-natural | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mixed woodland - plantation | 0 | $\square$ | 0 |
| Scrub - dense/continuous | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scrub - scattered | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parkland/scattered trees - broadleaved | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 |
| Parkland/scattered trees - coniferous | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parkland/scattered trees - mixed | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coniferous woodland - semi-natural | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coniferous woodland - plantation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recently felled woodland | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recently felled woodland - broadleaved | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| Recently felled woodland - coniferous | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recently felled woodland - mixed | 0 | $\square$ | 0 |
| Recently planted woodland | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Orchard | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grassland and Marsh | 5.68 | 10 | 5 |
| Acid grassland - unimproved | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acid grassland - semi-improved | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Neutral grassland - unimproved | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Neutral grassland - semi-improved | 5.68 | 1 | -5 |
| Calcareous grassland - unimproved | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Calcareous grassland - semi-improved | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Improved grassland | 0 | $\square$ | 0 |
| Marsh/marshy grassland | 0 | 10 | 9.75 |
| Poor semi-improved grassland | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tall Herb and Fern | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bracken - continuous | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bracken - scattered | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other tall herb and fern - ruderal | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other tall herb and fern - non ruderal | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Heathland | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry dwarf shrub heath - acid | 0 | - 0 | 0 |
| Dry dwarf shrub heath - basic | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wet dwarf shrub heath | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lichen/bryophyte heath | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry heath/acid grassland | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wet heath/acid grassland | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mire | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fen - valley mire | 0 | - 0 | 0 |
| Fen - basin mire | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fen - flood plain mire | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Swamp, Marginal and Inundation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Swamp | 0 | - 0 | 0 |
| Marginal and inundation - marginal vegetation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marginal and inundation - inundation vegetation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Open Water | 0.161 | 0.3716 | 0.2106 |
| Standing water - eutrophic | 0.052 | 0.1536 | 0.1016 |
| Standing water - mesotrophic | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Standing water - oligotrophic | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Standing water - dystrophic | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Standing water - marl | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Standing water - brackish | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Phase 1 habitat | Loss / Gain |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Woodland and Scrub | 0.00 |  |  |
| Grassland and Marsh | 4.72 |  |  |
| Tall Herb and Fern | 0.00 |  |  |
| Heathland | 0.00 |  |  |
| Mire | 0.00 |  |  |
| Swamp, Marginal and Inundation | 0.00 |  |  |
| Open Water | 0.21 |  |  |
| Rock Exposure and Waste | 0.00 |  |  |
| Hedgerows | 0.17 |  |  |
| Miscellaneous | 0.51 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total biodiversity units |  |  | 5.62 |


| Running water - eutrophic | 0.109 | 0.218 | 0.109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Running water - mesotrophic | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Running water - oligotrophic | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Running water - dystrophic | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Running water - marl | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Running water - brackish | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rock Exposure and Waste | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quarry | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mine | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Refuse-tip | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spoil | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hedgerows | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Species rich hedgerow | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| Species rich hegderow (with bank or ditch) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Species poor hedgerow | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Speceis poor hedgerow (with bank or ditch) | 0.13 | 0.12 | -0.01 |
| Line of trees | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Line of trees (with bank or ditch) | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.04 |
| Miscellaneous | 7 | 7 |  |
| Bare ground | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.76 |
| Built Environment: Buildings/harstanding | 0.02 | 0.78 | 0.76 |
| Built Environment: Gardens (lawn and planting) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Buildings and Hardstanding | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Gardens | 0.00 | 6.48 | 6.48 |
| Allotments | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Caravan site | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Buildings | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cultivated/disturbed land - arable | 5.97 | 0.00 | 5.97 |
| Cultivated/disturbed land - amenity grassland | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cultivated/disturbed land - ephemeral/short perennial | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Introduced shrub | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Other habitat | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dry ditch | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Boundary removed | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Earth bank | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fence | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Wall | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Artificial sea wall | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Reedbed | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cemetery | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Green roof | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Green wall | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |



| Line of trees | n/a | Low | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line of trees (with bank or ditch) | n/a | Medium-Low | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bare ground | J4 | Medium | 4 | 1 | 2 |  | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 2 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Built Environment: Buildings/harstanding | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | None | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Built Environment: Gardens (lawn and planting) | n/a | Low | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Buildings and Hardstanding | n/a | None | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Gardens | n/a | Medium-Low | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Allotments | n/a | Medium-Low | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Caravan site | 13.4 | None | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Buildings | 13.6 | None | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Cultivated/disturbed land - arable | 11.1 | Low | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Cultivated/disturbed land - amenity grassland | 11.2 | Medium-Low | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Cultivated/disturbed land - ephemeral/short perennial | 11.3 | Medium-Low | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Introduced shrub | 11.4 | Medium-Low | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Other habitat | 15 | None | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Dry ditch | 12.6 | Medium | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Boundary removed | 12.7 | None | 1 | n/a | 1 | n/a | None | 1 | n/a | 1 | n/a | None | 1 |
| Earth bank | 12.8 | Medium | 4 | n/a | 1 | n/a | None | 1 | n/a | 1 | n/a | None | 1 |
| Fence | 12.4 | None | 1 | n/a | 1 | n/a | None | 1 | n/a | 1 | n/a | None | 1 |
| Wall | 12.5 | Medium | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | None | 1 |
| Reedbed | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | High | 6 | 1 | 5 | 5 | Low | 0.8 | 2 | 29 | 58 | High | 0.4 |
| Cemetery | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Medium | 4 | n/a | 1 | n/a | None | 1 | n/a | 1 | n/a | None | 1 |
| Green roof | n/a | Medium | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |
| Green wall | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Medium | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 | 1 | 1 |  | Low | 0.8 |

